



Ecce Homo about 1504

Follower of Hieronymus Bosch

Netherlandish

oil and gilding on oak panel 24-3/16 × 20-1/2 in. (61.5 × 52 cm) The Clowes Collection 2014.88

Marks, Inscriptions, and Distinguishing Features

None

Entry

- Bosch's *Ecce Homo* combines the episodes of Christ's trial and mocking, all taking place in a crude palace setting. At the upper-left corner, a group of priests and scribes convene to condemn Christ. One member closes his eyes, scornful of the convention, and another yawns while the verdict is being handed out. Standing next to Pilate, Christ, crowned with thorns and cloaked in a mock royal robe, accepts his plight peacefully. One tormentor next to him, birch rod in hand, tries in vain to draw Christ's attention to the hysterical soldiers below. Pushing and shoving, the clamorous and heavily armed group rushes to the terrace for a better view. The crowd gawks at their surroundings, stupefied by their collective furor.
- 2 Christ and Pilate look strikingly similar: both have ashen complexions, and both appear to have their eyes closed. Holding a scepter in his proper right hand, Pilate points with two fingers of his left at the agitated crowd below. Vaguely reminiscent of the gesture of blessing, Pilate's motions are echoed by the upstretched, waving arm of the soldier dressed in red. Such sardonic gestures serve to reinforce the painting's theme; they also differ from more traditional gestures assigned to Pilate, such as the one in Bosch's *Ecce Homo* in Frankfurt (fig. 1). Pilate's gesture in that work—where he points at Christ with one finger—complements the prefect's inscribed speech: "Ecce homo."



Figure 1: Hieronymus Bosch (Netherlandish, about 1450–1516), Ecce Homo, about 1490, mixed technique on oak, 27-63/64 \times 23-13/16 \times 13/64 in. Städel Museum, Frankfurt, Inv. 1577 © Städel Museum, Frankfurt am Main / ARTOTHEK.

Pilate's resemblance to Christ poses an interpretive challenge: how to distinguish the good from the hypocritical, if the hypocritical, by definition, appears good? Admittedly, the two figures' many different attributes rule out the possibility of misinterpretation. Such resemblance, therefore, creates more readily an interpretive opportunity for the viewer to contemplate the danger of false belief. Analogizing Christ and Pilate, the Clowes panel calls to mind Bosch's *Christ Mocked* in London (fig. 2). The latter painting's toned-down violence and psychological ambivalence undermines its narrative clarity and evokes in the viewer an uneasy sense of complicity. As Peter Parshall has argued, "The message of the painting is interrogative



Figure 2: Hieronymus Bosch (Netherlandish, about 1450—1516), Christ Mocked (The Crowning with Thorns), about 1510, oil on oak, 29-1/16 \times 23-15/64 in. The National Gallery, London, Bought, 1934, NG4744.

- 4 rather than declarative, no longer about the past, about memory, but rather about the continually unstable present." Eyes closed, the Clowes Christ does not appear to interrogate the viewer. Nonetheless, the viewer's proximity to the mob means that one may be lured into their commotion as though participating in it in the "unstable present."
- The Clowes *Ecce Homo* and a painting in the John G. Johnson Collection in the Philadelphia Museum of Art (fig. 3) are near identical versions of the same composition. The two paintings have the same width, although the Clowes panel is 2-3/4 inches longer, as it contains a painted parapet that is not present in the Johnson panel. A column right behind Christ's nearby tormentor also exists only in the Clowes panel. Although absent in the Johnson painting's current state, an extra column was, in fact, once part of the composition. During a <u>restoration</u> carried out in 1938, the column, covering a split in the middle of the panel, was removed.²



Figure 3: Follower of Hieronymus Bosch, *Ecce Homo*, after 1557, oil and gold on panel, $20-1/2 \times 21-1/4$ in. Philadelphia Museum of Art, John G. Johnson Collection, 1917, Cat. 352.

Hanns Swarzenski, crediting the identification to Seymour Slive, was the first to note compositional similarities between the Johnson panel and the upper-left corner of a drawing in the collection of the Crocker Art Museum depicting Christ carrying the cross. The drawing also includes scenes of the mocking of Christ, the suicide of Judas, and the mourning Virgin and St. John the Evangelist in its background (fig. 4). The Crocker drawing supports Swarzenski's assertion that the Johnson panel is a fragment of a larger composition, a claim corroborated by Charles de Tolnay's observation that the panel's right edge is irregularly cut. The Crocker drawing, in which the background and the foreground are separated not by architecture but by a simple arched line suggesting an undulating landscape, also potentially explains the absence of a parapet in the Johnson panel. For this reason, Charles de Tolnay and Mia Cinotti

argue that the Clowes painting is a copy after the Johnson panel, because, with the addition of the parapet, it treats the mocking scene as a complete whole. 5 Cinotti further argues that the Clowes panel cannot be the top-left corner of a larger composition, because, in its lack of perspectival rendering, the arched doorway through which the soldiers emerge shows that the painting is not a fragmentary corner viewed obliquely. However, since the doorway is, in fact, rendered in perspective, the observation is incorrect. 6



Figure 4: Circle of Hieronymus Bosch (Netherlandish, about 1450–1516), Christ Carrying the Cross, n.d., pen and brown ink, black chalk, white chalk on buff laid paper, $9-2/5 \times 7-1/2$ in. Crocker Art Museum, Sacramento, E.B. Crocker Collection, Inv. 1871.126.

- Based on connoisseurship, scholars of the early twentieth century held different opinions on the attribution of the Johnson panel. Meanwhile, dendrochronological analysis confirms that the Johnson panel was created after 1557, while the Clowes panel could have been produced any time after 1504—that is, within Bosch's lifetime.
- Both the Clowes and the Johnson panels are stylistically removed from the Frankfurt *Ecce Homo*, Bosch's foremost painting on this subject. Instead, they are, as P. Gerlach has observed, akin to the work *St. James of Compostela and Hermogenes*, a Boschian panel in the Musée des Beaux Arts de Valenciennes (fig. 5).² Note the similar facial features of Hermogenes and the bearded soldier in the lower-right corner of the Clowes and the Johnson panels. The onion-shaped headgear worn by Hermogenes also echoes the pointy helmet of two soldiers in the foreground of both. The fan-shaped hat of the conspiring Philetus, depicted to the left of Hermogenes, is also reminiscent of the headdress of the scornful priest in the upper-left corner of the *Ecce Homo* compositions. All three paintings, moreover, include a column displaying extreme entasis.



Figure 5: Follower of Hieronymus Bosch, *The Sorcerer Hermogenes and the devils; in the background St. James the Great,* about 1550–1575, oil on panel, 24-13/32 × 16-9/64 in. Musée des Beaux Arts de Valenciennes, Valenciennes, inv./cat.nr 176. Photo Credit: BD/RKD - ONS/Photo-archive M.J. Friedländer.

9 Frédérique Elsig, moreover, points out that the demon reclining on the stairs at the feet of Hermogenes may hold the key to determining the work's date. Cheeks puffed up, the demon appears to both smoke a pipe and blow a wind instrument (reminiscent of the figure that blows a shawm in the hell scene of the *Garden of Earthly Delights*). Given that smoking was not popularized in Europe until 1560, when Jean Nicot introduced tobacco to France, the painting would have likely been created after 1560 for it to include a pipe-smoking monster. The remarkable stylistic similarity between the Valenciennes *Hermogenes* and the Johnson *Ecce Homo* means that both would have likely been created by the same artist or workshop, a connection supported by the dendrochronological finding of the Johnson panel. Gerlach, treating the scorpion-like insect that appears twice in the Johnson painting—on a banner and on a badge worn by a soldier to the right—and on Philetus's shoulder in the Valenciennes painting as a signature, attributes both works to a "Master of the Insect" ("Meester van het insekt"). Gerlach also identifies several other paintings that bare the same "signature," including *The Extraction of the Stone of Madness* in the Museo del Prado (fig. 6), a *Conjurer* in a Californian private collection, and a pair of trimmed wings depicting Paradise and Hell in a New York private collection. He, however, did not mention the Clowes panel in his study. Despite sharing the same "signature," the Clowes and Johnson panels depict an event effusing fantastic excitement much removed from the Prado *Extraction of the Stone of Madness*. Indeed, as Elsig argues, the caricatural morphology of the Valenciennes panel (and, by association, the Clowes and Johnson panels) was more in line with figures in works of Pieter Bruegel the Elder and Pieter van der Heyden. While the dendrochronological analysis suggests that the Clowes painting could have been created from 1504 onward, the caricatural treatment of the subject matter likely pushes



Figure 6: Hieronymus Bosch (Netherlandish, about 1450–1516), The Extraction of the Stone of Madness, 1501–1505, oil on oak panel, $19-3/32 \times 13-37/64$ in. Museo del Prado, Madrid, P002056.

Author

Haohao Lu

Provenance

Sir Richard Levinge (1911–1984), Knockdrin Castle, Ireland; 16

to (C. Marshall Spink, London), probably via (Adolf Fritz Mondschein, Vienna) in 1939. 17

(E. and A. Silberman, New York); 18

G.H.A. Clowes, Indianapolis, in 1941;

The Clowes Fund, Indianapolis, from 1958-2014, and on long-term loan to the Indianapolis Museum of Art since 1971 (C10006);

Given to the Indianapolis Museum of Art, now the Indianapolis Museum of Art at Newfields, in 2014.

Exhibitions

World's Fair, New York, Masterpieces of Art, 1939;

Detroit Institute of Arts, Masterpieces of Art from European and American Collections: European Paintings from the Two World's Fair of 1939, no. 3;

John Herron Art Museum, Indianapolis, 1950, Holbein and His Contemporaries, no. 7;

John Herron Art Museum, Indianapolis, 1959, Paintings from the Collection of George Henry Alexander Clowes: A Memorial Exhibition, no. 6;

Musée Communal des Beaux-Arts, Bruges, 1960, Le Siècle des Primitifs Flamands, no. 66;

Detroit Institute of Arts, 1960, Masterpieces of Flemish Art: Jan van Eyck to Bosch, no. 56 (catalogue titled Flanders in the Fifteenth Century);

The Art Gallery, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, 1962, A Lenten Exhibition, no. 6;

Indiana University Museum of Art, Bloomington, 1963, Northern European Painting—The Clowes Fund Collection, no. 18.

References

Otto Benesch, "Ein Spätwerk von Hieronymus Bosch," In Mélanges Hulin de Loo, 36—44. Brussels: Librairie Nationale d'Art et d'Histoire, 1931;

E.P. Richardson, "Augmented Return Engagement and Positive Farewell Appearance of the Masterpieces of Art from Two World's Fairs," Art News 40, no. 6 (1941): 17;

Jacques Combe, Jerome Bosch (New York: Universe Books, 1957);

Ludwig von Baldass, Hieronymus Bosch (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1960);

Detroit Institute of Arts, Flanders in the Fifteenth Century, exh. cat. (Detroit, 1960), 208-210;

Charles de Tolnay, "The Paintings of Hieronymus Bosch in the Philadelphia Museum of Art," Art International 7, no. 4 (1963): 27;

Charles de Tolnay, Hieronymus Bosch (New York: Reynal and Company, 1966), 352;

Jheronimus Bosch: Exhibition Noordbrabants Museum, 's-Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, 17 Sept.—15. Nov. 1967, exh. cat. ('s-Hertogenbosch: Hieronymus Bosch Exhibition Foundation, 1967), 101:

Karl Arndt, "Zur Ausstellung 'Jheronimus Bosch," Kunstchronik 21 (1968): 19;

Brigitte Völker, "Die Entwicklung des Erzählenden Halbfigurenbildes in der Niederländischen Malerei des 15. und 16. Jahrhunderts," PhD diss., Universität Göttingen, 1968, 96;

Max J. Friedländer, Early Netherlandish Painting: Geertgen tot Sint Jans and Jerome Bosch (Leiden: Sijthoff, 1969).

Mia Cinotti, The Complete Paintings of Bosch (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1971), 98, no. 27;

Carl Linfert, Hieronymus Bosch, trans. Robert Erich Wolf (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1971);

John G. Johnson Collection: Catalogue of Flemish and Dutch Paintings (Philadelphia: Philadelphia Museum of Art, 1972), 7-8;

A. Ian Fraser, A Catalogue of the Clowes Collection (Indianapolis: Indianapolis Museum of Art, 1973), 104-5;

Gert Unverfehrt, Hieronymus Bosch: Die Rezeption seiner Kunst im frühen 16. Jahrhundert (Berlin: Mann, 1980), 129n457, 133, 243, no. 9, pl. 80;

Anthony F. Janson and A. Ian Fraser, Handbook of European and American Paintings to 1945. (Indianapolis: Indianapolis Museum of Art, 1981);

Kathleen Dardes and Andrea Rothe, The Structural Conservation of Panel Paintings: Proceedings of a Symposium at the J. Paul Getty Museum, 24–28 April 1995 (Malibu: Getty Publications, 1998), 46;

Peter Klein, "Dendrochronological Analysis of Works by Hieronymus Bosch and His Followers," in *Hieronymus Bosch: New Insights into His Life and Work*, ed. Jos Koldeweij and Bernard Vermet (Rotterdam: Museum Boijmans van Beuningen, 2001), 125;

Frédérique Elsig, Jheronimus Bosch: La question de la chronologie (Geneva: Droz, 2004), 142.

Notes

- 1. Peter Parshall, "Penitence and Pentimenti: Hieronymus Bosch's Mocking of Christ in London," in Tributes in Honor of James H. Marrow: Studies in Painting and Manuscript Illumination of the Late Middle Ages and Northern Renaissance, ed. Anne S. Kortweg (London: Harvey Miller, 2006), 378.
- 2. Charles de Tolnay, "The Paintings of Hieronymus Bosch in the Philadelphia Museum of Art," Art International 7, no. 4 (1963): 27; See also John G. Johnson Collection: Catalogue of Flemish and Dutch Paintings (Philadelphia: Philadelphia Museum of Art, 1972), 8. This catalogue also mentions that the IMA painting was likely to be in the hands of a London restorer, C. Marshall Spink, who corresponded with the Philadelphia Museum of Art in 1937—38 and purchased photos of the Johnson panel before the 1938 cleaning. The correspondence, kindly provided by the Philadelphia Museum of Art, does not reveal how these photos were consulted.
- 3. Hanns Swarzenski, "An Unknown Bosch," Bulletin of the Museum of Fine Arts Boston 53, no. 291 (1955): 5. See also Ludwig von Baldass, Hieronymus Bosch (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1960), 236. William Breazeale, Cara Denison, Stacey Sell, and Freyda Spira, A Pioneering Collection: Master Drawings from the Crocker Art Museum (London: Paul Holberton Publishing, 2010), see https://www.crockerart.org/collections/works-on-paper/artworks/christ-carrying-the-cross).
- 4. Charles de Tolnay, "The Painting of Hieronymus Bosch in the Philadelphia Museum of Art," Art International 7, no. 4 (1963): 27.
- Charles de Tolnay, Hieronymus Bosch (New York: Reynal & Company, 1966), 352.
 Mia Cinotti, The Complete Paintings of Bosch (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1971), 98.
- 6. Mia Cinotti, The Complete Paintings of Bosch (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1971), 98.
- 7. Charles de Tolnay, joining a group of early and midcentury art historians, attributes the Johnson Ecce Homo to Bosch. Otto Benesch places it to the end of Bosch's youthful period, while Tolnay, Jacques Combe, and Carl Linfert assign it to his early maturity. Reluctant to accept the attribution, Ludwig van Baldass, sees it a youthful work. Karl Arndt refutes the attribution altogether. See Mia Cinotti, The Complete Paintings of Bosch (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1971), 98; Max J. Friedländer, Early Netherlandish Painting: Geertgen tot Sint Jans and Jerome Bosch (Leiden: Sijthoff, 1969), 83, no. 78; Otto Benesch, "Ein Spätwerk von Hieronymus Bosch," in Mélanges Hulin de Loo (Brussels: Librairie Nationale d'Art et d'Histoire, 1931), 36—44; Jacques Combe, Jerome Bosch (New York: Universe Books, 1957), 27; Carl Linfert, Hieronymus Bosch, trans. Robert Erich Wolf (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1971), 70; Ludwig von Baldass, Hieronymus Bosch (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1960), 236; Karl Arndt, "Zur Ausstellung 'Iheronimus Bosch," Kunstchronik 21 (1968): 19. Regarding the Clowes panel, Wilhelm Valentiner regards it an "excellent characteristic work of Jerome Bosch," while Hans Tietze sees it a superior copy in terms of coloring and details. See Wilhelm Valentiner's 1940 expertise and the letter dating 25 February 1941 from Abris Silberman to G.H.A. Clowes. File Cl0006, Clowes Registration Archive, Indianapolis Museum of Art at Newfields.
- 8. See the report on the dendrochronological analysis carried out in 1994 by Peter Klein. File C10006, Clowes Registration Archive, Indianapolis Museum of Art at Newfields. See also Peter Klein, "Dendrochronological Analysis of Works by Hieronymus Bosch and His Followers," in *Hieronymus Bosch: New Insights into His Life and Work*, ed. Jos Koldeweij and Bernard Vermet (Rotterdam: Museum Boijmans van Beuningen, 2001), 125.
- 9. P. Gerlach, "De 'Temptatie van St. Antonius' te Antwerpen afkomstig uit 's-Hertogenbosch," Jaarboek Koninklijk Museum voor Schone Kunsten Antwerpen (1968): 76–77. See also Jacques Combe, Jerome Bosch (New York: Universe Books, 1957), 67n72; Gert Universehrt, Hieronymus Bosch: Die Rezeption seiner Kunst im frühen 16. Jahrhundert (Berlin: Mann, 1980), 133.
- 10. I thank Lawrence Lipnik for identifying the wind instrument in the Garden of Earthly Delights.
- 11. Frédérique Elsig, Jheronimus Bosch: La question de la chronologie (Geneva: Droz, 2004), 142.
- 12. P. Gerlach, "De Temptatie van St. Antonius' te Antwerpen afkomstig uit 's-Hertogenbosch," Jaarboek Koninklijk Museum voor Schone Kunsten Antwerpen (1968): 77.
- 13. RKD Kunstwerknummer 60914.
- 14. RKD Kunstwerknummer 56524 & 56525.
- 15. Frédérique Elsig, Jheronimus Bosch: La question de la chronologie (Geneva: Droz, 2004), 141.

- 16. Correspondence between Spink and Clowes indicates that the painting was obtained from Sir Richard Levinge, see Letters, 6 April 1956 and 15 February 1957, Correspondence Files, Clowes Registration Archive, Indianapolis Museum of Art at Newfields. In the latter, the restorer Spink relates that he had contacted Levinge to learn the identity of the previous owner of the painting, but Levinge reported that he had no old records.
- 17. A photograph of the Clowes painting bears a Friedländer notation on the back that reads: "Spink London/ d.[urch]Mondschein/VI.39;" see Annotation to Illustration number 111685, Friedländer Project, Rijksbureau voor kunsthistorisches Documentatie (RKD), The Hague. It is possible that Spink had business connections during this period with Adolf Fritz Mondschein, later known as Frederick Mont, after Mont's immigration to the US in 1939.
- 18. Letter from Abris Silberman to G.H.A. Clowes, 24 February 1941, Clowes Registration Archive, Indianapolis Museum of Art at Newfields.